

Roles and properties of magnetic fields from molecular clouds to protoplanetary disks



Anaëlle Maury



* STAR FORMATION RATES ? - B IN MOLECULAR CLOUDS



- * CONSERVATION OF ANGULAR MOMENTUM ? B IN PROTOSTARS
- * TRANSPORT BY MRI ? B IN PP DISKS





Gaia DR2 extinction map of the Milky Way

- In our Galaxy: mass of gaz with p>103 cm⁻³ is ~ 109 M_o (Battisti & Heyer 2014; Rice et al. 2016)
- Without support against gravity: expected galactic SFR ~ $300-500 M_{o}$ / year

$$t_{\rm ff} = (3\pi/32 {\rm G}\rho)^{1/2}$$
 $M_J = \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{8} \frac{c_s^3}{\sqrt{G^3 \rho}}$

But observations: SFR ~ $3 M_{o}$ / year



Evans+ (2014): ϵ ff ~ 0.01 – 0.1 for clouds with mean densities $n_{H_2} \sim 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ Shimajiri+ (2017): Find similar SFE in HGBS filaments



All the dense gas does NOT undergo free-fall collapse

The ISM is magnetized

ISM Component	B _{total} (μG)		
diffuse ionized medium (synchrotron equipartition, RMs)	7 ± 3		
H I clouds	6.0±1.8		
(H I Zeeman)	(λ ~ 0.1)		
molecular clouds	10 – 3,000+		
(OH, CN Zeeman)	(λ _c ~ 1)		
1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2			
Zeeman in molecu	lar cores:		
Median B ~0.5	6 mG		

Falgarone+ (2009)

The ISM is turbulent Ursa Major 10 Size-linewidth in CO structures HI power spectrum 10 10.2 10 DRAO Leider 10 $L_{i}(p_{i})$ 10 0.100 0.001 0.010 1.0100 k (aromin') Falgarone+ (2009) -3.6±0.1 ~ Kolmogorov Larson (1981) Miville-Deschênes+ (2003) Cel map (km/s kgm60" 200 Int offset (among) Pdfs of line centroid velocity increments in Polaris (Hily-Blant+ 2009)

Star Formation Rates ? - B in Molecular clouds



B-field Orientation

Polarized Intensity



Star Formation Rates ? - B in Molecular clouds

[Planck Intermediate XXXII 2014, arXiv:1409.6728]



(Planck intensity 353GHz, B-field lines)

In the diffuse ISM : The magnetic field is **parallel** to filamentary CNM structures

Star Formation Rates ? - B in Molecular clouds



Magnetic field tends to be **perpendicular** to (dense) star forming filaments

Signature of the formation of gravitationally bound structures for a dynamically important magnetic field.

$$M_{cr} = 0.13G^{-1/2} \int B \, dA = 10^3 \, M_{sun} \, (B/30\mu G) (R/2pc)^2$$

Set magnetic energy = gravitational energy

$$\left(\frac{M}{\Phi}\right)_{crit} \approx \left(\frac{1}{8\pi G}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\lambda \equiv \frac{(M / \Phi)}{(M / \Phi)_{critical}} \approx 5.0 \times 10^{-21} \frac{N(H)}{B}$$

	H I Clouds	OH Clouds	CN Clouds
T(K)	50	10	50
N _H (cm⁻³)	1×10 ²⁰	8×10 ²¹	9×10 ²²
n _H (cm⁻³)	54	3.6×10 ³	3×10 ⁵
thickness (pc)	0.6	0.7	0.1
σ _{NT} (km/s)	1.2	0.37	1.2
B _{total,1/2} (μG)	6.0	14	280
M _{sonic}	5.0	3.4	5.0
M _{Alfvenic}	1.4	1.5	2.2
M/Φ (wrt critical)	0.06	2.2	1.2



What about filaments ? Critical line mass can be greater when threaded by magnetic field perpendicular to filament (Tomisaka 2014, Hanawa & Tomisaka 2015)

Li et al. (2016): Suggest lower SFR for clouds almost perpendicular to the B-fields To be confirmed !



Shu et al. 1987

Lada 1987

André et al. 1993

André et al. 2001



Class o disks



CALYPSO survey: at most 25% of Class 0 protostars with continuum disk-like structures at r>60 au

Combining the VANDAM and CALYPSO samples

+ the recent ALMA results probing radii ~ 60 au:

4-7 out of 28 Class 0 protostars, i.e \leq 25%, have confirmed or candidate disks at radii $^{>}$ 60 au

Braking bad

Observations show mostly (>75%) small Class o disks (Segura-Cox+ 2015, Yen+ 2015, Maury+ 2010, 2014, 2018b)



Rotation motions generate Alfven torsional waves => can transport angular momentum to the outer parts of the contracting cloud.

i.e, magnetic braking is more effective perpendicular to field lines

(Mouschovias & Paleologou 79,80, Basu & Mouschovias 95, Galli 06)

Magnetic braking allows to redistribute the angular momentum from the inner infalling envelope to the outer parts of the envelope, and therefore reduces the centrifugal radius: only small (r<50 au) rotationally-supported protostellar disks.



Comparison of Class o observations to numerical simulations of star formation



Observations more reminiscent of MHD protostellar disks (Maury+ 2010, 2018a)

All protostars are magnetized to some level



All protostars are magnetized to some level



A link between B topology and the formation of large disks?

In the Class o envelopes with large rotation: the main envelope B-field direction is observed aligned with the equatorial velocity gradient

For protostellar envelopes showing no/little rotation: B aligned quite well with the jet direction.



Galametz+ (2018)

Envelope-scale B-field misalignment is found preferentially

in protostars that are close multiple and/or harbor a larger Keplerian disk ?

A magnetically-regulated collapse in B335?



ALMA observations of the 1.3mm dust continuum polarization



A magnetically-regulated collapse in B335?



Observations reveal a strikingly ordered magnetic field in this young accreting protostar

A magnetically-regulated collapse in B335?

Comparison of our ALMA data to synthetic observations of non-ideal MHD models of protostellar collapse





=> B might be regulating the distribution of angular momentum, and the formation of the protostellar disk

Maury+ (2018a)



L1448 IRS2A (Perseus)

B vectors: very organized pattern.

Debate wether the field is dynamically relevant or not



VLA1623 (Ophiuchus)

E vectors: complex structure.

Debate wether tracing the field or other alignment



Sadavoy+ (2018)

Also cases of less dynamically dominant B

BHB07 Class I circumbinary disk:

ALMA reveals a toroidal field component produced by disk rotation at scales 100 au



ALMA dust polarization = B-fields ?

Subarcsec ALMA polarized dust emission in edge-on disk HH111 (Lee + 2018)



ALMA dust polarization = B-fields ?

Subarcsec ALMA polarized dust emission in edge-on disk HH212 (Lee + 2018)



tion mechanisms. In HH 212, the dust polarization is consistent with either scattering or emission by grains aligned with a *poloidal* field around the outer edge of the disk because of optical depth effect and temperature gradient. One may be able to tell these two apart with polarization observations at another wavelength in the future. For HH 111 VLA 1, it is possible that a combination of toroidal and poloidal magnetic field may explain the polarization on the near and far side of the disk, although we do not have good detailed models for scattering for disks that are as edge-on as HH 111; scattering may or may not work, and it needs more exploration. In addition, alignment of dust grains by radiation flux may play a role in the farside. Perhaps, different polarization mechanisms are operating in different parts of a disk and in different disks. Additional multi-wavelength polarization observations and detailed modeling are required to make further progress in this important field that is being revolutionized by ALMA.

Polarization = B-fields ?

Subarcsec mid-IR polarimetry survey of protoplanetary disks with GTC CanariCam Li, Telesco, Zhang + (2018)

> AB Auriga (D=140 pc) Mass 2.4M_{\odot} Age 4±1 Myr Inclination ~23° Accretion rate 10⁻⁷ M_{\odot}/yr (Tang+ 2012;Perrin+ 2009)



Perspectives

Great future for magnetic field studies

ALMA @ sub-arcsecond SMA @ arcsecond Sphere on VLT @ IR

2019: NIKA2-Pol 10" @ 260 GHz



2030?: SPICA SAFARI-Pol 10" @ 100microns



Also starting a collaboration with IRAM to enable NOEMA linear polarization capabilities

Dust polarization: a reliable tool to trace B?

Multiple polarization mechanisms :

--If magnetically aligned => dust polarization to map the B field structure => Molecular lines polarization to constrain the B field strength.

-- If dust scattering, it constrains dust properties.

Critical to obtain consistent constraints from several B tracers

Multi-wavelength polarimetry: mid-IR to (sub-)mm (tomography)

Multi-medium (dust, molecules)